UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,622	01/20/2004	John T. Beck	15437-0592	3546
	7590 11/24/200 LERMO TRUONG &		EXAMINER	
AND SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC.			VERDI, KIMBLEANN C	
2055 GATEWA SUITE 550	AY PLACE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA	SAN JOSE, CA 95110-1089		2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/761,622	BECK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	KimbleAnn Verdi	2194
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply bound will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u>	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 28,29,32-41,44-52 and 55-60 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 28,29,32-41,44-52 and 55-60 is/are 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. rection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnets * See the attached detailed Office action for a light service.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date October 27, 2008, October 23, 2008, 2008, August 4, 2008, July 16, 2008, and April 23, 2008	· —	



Application No.

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 28-29, 32-41, 44-52, and 55-60 are pending in the current application.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 28-29, 32-41, 44-52, and 55-60 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim claims 1, 4, 11, and 14, of U. S. Patent No. 7,437,556.
- 3. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. The examiner can ascertain no difference between the claims of the

Art Unit: 2194

present application and that of U. S. Patent No. 7,437,556. It is noted that the minor difference encompass replacement of the recitation of the limitations in the claims and it appears to be substantially the same or duplicate or in some instance obvious over one another. For example, claims 28, 40, and 42 in establishing a non-global zone for isolating user processes, the functions performed by the steps are the same and obvious as the steps of claims 1, 4, 11, and 14 of U. S. Patent No. 7,437,556.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 5. Claims 52-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 6. Claim 52 recites "an apparatus" and invokes §112, 6th paragraph, by reciting means for establishing, within a global operating system environment provided by an operating system (OS) kernel, a first non-global zone. However, according to the specification, the means for establishing, within a global operating system environment provided by an operating system (OS) kernel, a first non-global zone appear to be software pro se and is functional descriptive material. However, function descriptive material is nonstatutory when claimed as descriptive material per se. When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most

Art Unit: 2194

cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Since claim 52 does not recite the means for establishing as being recorded on a computer-readable medium, the apparatus is interpreted as comprising functional descriptive material per se and non statutory. See MPEP § 2106.01.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 28-29, 32-41, and 44-52 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 52-60 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 101, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KimbleAnn Verdi whose telephone number is (571)270-1654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-5:00pm EST..
- 10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2194

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

November 20, 2008 KV